

SHERPA Roadshow

- 6 -

University of East Anglia,
March 2007

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/>

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



This Afternoon

- The global OA movement
- SHERPA Partnership Experiences
- Challenges & possible solutions
- Services & support for repositories
- The local picture
- Advocacy guidance and advice
- Time for questions at end of each talk

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



The SHERPA Partnership's 7½ Pillars of Advocacy

University of East Anglia, March 2007

Gareth J Johnson
SHERPA Repository Development Officer
SHERPA, University of Nottingham
gareth.johnson@nottingham.ac.uk

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Before You Begin

- To embed & enable your repository successfully
 - Cultural change must be achieved
 - Funding, staffing, ethos and policies must be agreed
- One of the most effective tools is an advocacy campaign
 - Getting the right message to the right people
 - Tone and content varied by target audience
 - A core message & ethos is essential
- An informed awareness must be built
 - Without it little or no material will be added
 - Neglecting advocacy will result in repository decline

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Advocating Repositories

- Some guidance from Partner Experience
 - Based on discussions with SHERPA Partner Officers
 - Meeting held in December 2006
- Focussed on advocacy successes
 - As well as challenges
- No hard and fast rules
 - Each institution has different approaches
 - What has worked well for some *might* work others
- The right level of engagement is crucial
 - E.g. *Selling technical minutiae to senior management*
 - Achieve critical buy in – build on successes

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



7 (& a bit) Steps to Success

1. Set Achievable Targets
2. Discipline & Community
3. Educate & Clarify
4. Seize the Moment
5. Allies & Comparators
6. Enable Effective Deposition
7. Achieve Quick Wins
- 7.5...Challenges

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Set Achievable Targets

- Steering group comprised of key people
 - Confers institutional *clout* backing and
 - Can drive institutional developments
 - Opens otherwise locked lines of communication
 - Must comprise realists as well as activists
- Focus on specific subject targets
 - Using contacts to find a good initial “in”
 - Then capitalise on previous successes
 - Or learn from setbacks
- Targeting specific items types
 - Papers or theses are a popular choice

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Discipline & Community



- Disciplinarily differences evident but not absolute
 - One size does not fit all
 - STM aren't always the most keen to engage
- Intra-institutional publication cultures will differ
 - Arts & Humanities staff sometimes vocal supporters
 - Departments may already have Individual repositories
- Awareness of subject community differences
 - Some (e.g. Physics & CompSci) gravitate to subject repositories
 - Long term stability of IR can be seen as a major advantage

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Educate & Clarify



- Stakeholders will have many concerns and questions
 - SHERPA site offers guidance and suggested resolutions
- Common questions have included:
 - What about quality assurance & peer review?
 - If its freely available, what about plagiarism?
 - What about commercially or ethically sensitivity material?
- Subject base more natural for some disciplines
 - IRs can act as ingest mechanism for subject repositories
 - Likely stability and longevity of IRs
- What about a possible threat to journals?
 - Evidence to date shows co-existence possible
 - Brussel's declaration (Feb 13th)

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Seize the Moment



- Prepare and capitalise on serendipitous opportunities
 - RAE or review can focus attention on research visibility
 - Discovering a champion or change agent in a senior position
 - Useful in getting intermediaries & administrators on-side
- Need marketing plan and core-message ready ASAP
 - *E.g. Publication alone is not enough*
 - Reward participation or at milestones
- Use in-house publications
 - Internal and external publications can be useful
 - Deadlines may be set months ahead
 - Publish your own one off

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Use Allies & Comparators



- Take advantage of competitiveness
 - Comparators proved useful within the SHERPA Partnership
 - Jealousy can be a powerful motivating force
 - Sites like OpenDOAR can help you find comparators
- Readers frustration
 - Unable to locate full-text via Google or e-journals
 - Locate within the local repository
 - Persuasive proof of concept once repository is working
 - Choose examples with care

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Enable Effective Deposition



- Deposition is part of the publication process
 - Community awareness of OA and repository advantages is essential
 - Encouraging differing version retention of articles can smooth effective deposition
 - Back up advocacy promises & targets
- Labour intensive methods have proved poor successes
 - Searching for articles published
 - Then seeking permission to deposit
- Departmental or institutional mandates
 - One way to fill a repository quickly
 - Risk of raising ire and entrenchment
 - Ensure that staff can cope if implemented
 - Ensure benefits are reflected in core message

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Achieve Quick Wins



- Metadata only repositories
 - Can be a stepping stone to successful deposition
 - BUT confer less advantage to the user research community
 - Clear differentiation of full-text items from metadata only essential for end-users
- Enabling administrative staff
 - Overcomes academic time concerns
 - Can act as effective advocates themselves
 - Risks disengaging academics from the wider debate
- Controlling the situation
 - All staff need to stay on message
 - From small successes greater ones can be built

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Facing the Challenges



- Even slight barriers are enough
- Fixed term posts & funding
 - Worries for longevity of some repositories
 - High expectations for short timescale
- Unrealistic targets
 - Quantitative focus by observers a poor success metric
 - Is it better to establish quality over quantity?
- Real cultural change is a crucial achievable
 - Need real engagement from and with academics
 - But takes an uncertain amount of time and effort
- Advocacy fatigue
- Operating in isolation
 - Thankfully rarer today thanks to SHERPA, UKCoRR & RSP

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Conclusion

- Advocacy has been the key to successful cultural change
- Experience across the partnership has developed some useful guidance
- Network of practitioners helped overcome repeating errors
- Appreciation of the unique research culture of the institution is vital

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>



Question Time

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk>

